UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMENCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,155	03/12/2004	Carl J. Ledbetter	MSFT-2938/307331.01	9531
41505 WOODCOCK	7590 01/30/2008 WASHBURN LLP (MIC	EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTR	E, 12TH FLOOR	HARTMAN JR, RONALD D		
2929 ARCH S PHILADELPH	TREET IIA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/799,155	LEDBETTER ET	LEDBETTER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	`				
Status								
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Notes</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matte	•	he merits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 17-45 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b)  objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 					

-1

## \_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, it would appear that it is impossible for an opaque layer to have light actually propagate through it since this would contradict the definition of being opaque.

This also applies to claims 36 and 44.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 44-45 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 44-45 are rejected as being non-statutory since the claimed "computer readable medium" may be interpreted, in light of the applicants specification (e.g. See [0028] and [0037]) as corresponding to a signal, per se. Signals are representative of subject matter that is non-statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/799,155

Art Unit: 2121

ب

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by {TWEAKNEWS] – Computer Monitor and Displays Reviews, wherein a Nathan Glentworth reviews a Samsung Syncmaster 151B 15 Inch TFT Monitor (hereinafter: Glentworth).

As best understood, it appears that claim17 claims a device comprising:

- a housing;
- a lighting component; and
- a translucent layer, wherein light propagates through the layer based on a lighting scheme associated with a condition in the computing system.

This claim is so broad that it is anticipated by a LCD (liquid crystal display) screen of a computing device being utilized to produce an image on the screen of the LCD, during operation of a computing system (i.e. a computer to which the LCD is attached).

An LCD display screen has a housing that contains the components (lighting components; the liquid crystals) that produce the actual images appearing on the screen, whereby a translucent layer (a layer that allows light to pass through it) is manufactured as an integral part of the display so as to ensure protection of the liquid crystals. The display will present images based on the operations of the computing system, that is, if a processor is instructed to present an image, the processor presents image information to an appropriate circuit means which then instructs the LCD to produce the image on the display screen.

Glentworth describes an LCD monitor presenting an image by using a Samsung Syncmaster 151B 15 Inch TFT Monitor, which was publicly known on or before May 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2002, as evidenced an article reviewing it on that date (e.g. See Computer Monitor and

Application/Control Number: 10/799,155

Art Unit: 2121

أمجده

Display Reviews article, wherein the Samsung Syncmaster 151B 15 Inch TFT Monitor is shown and described, wherein the monitor is used for presenting images derived a computing system).

Claims 18-35 are rejected by virtue of being rejected by claim 17.

As per claim 36, the method appears to be adequately anticipated by using an LCD to display an image on the screen of the LCD, wherein the housing is considered the entire structure itself, and does not merely represent a specific material of the LCD.

Claims 37-44 are rejected virtue of being rejected by claim 18.

As per claim 44, this claim is rejected using the rational as already set forth above, with respect to claims 17 and 36, and those rejected are also applied herein.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 23, 2008 RDH PONALD HARTMAN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/25/2008